



Chair's Message

By Silvia Pedraza

Dear University Senate members,

As I end my term as Chair of SACUA, I write to update you on some of SACUA and Senate Assembly's recent activities and to encourage you to participate in faculty governance.

I. SACUA

As you know, we welcomed Luke McCarthy as the new Director of the Faculty Senate Office (FSO). Luke quickly stepped into the role and helped us to hire our new Coordinator, Eric Vandenberghe (full time), who came from MIT. Together with our former Coordinator, Ann Marshall (half-time), we now have a fully staffed FSO with excellent people that work very well together. I am pleased to leave this well-functioning office behind, for the benefit of faculty governance.

Former SACUA Chairs Colleen Conway and David Potter, former Secretary of SACUA led another effort, in which SACUA joined. They started it by writing an article for the Faculty Perspectives page of The University Record, with SACUA's imprimatur. They stressed the need for U-M to have a robust central Office for Ethics, Integrity, and Compliance (OEIC) with a reporting line to the Regents to avoid the



sexual misconduct problems in the past. SACUA was most pleased when our new President Santa J. Ono, soon after he arrived, committed to creating just such an office at U-M. At present, SACUA is working on providing feedback for the implementation of this office.

SACUA also began to meet with our new Provost Laurie McCauley. Both the Provost and SACUA share concerns regarding the mental health of the faculty. A survey done by our colleagues who implemented DEI 1.0 showed that 40% of the students felt they had mental health problems, as did 20% of the staff and 20% of the faculty. No doubt, the COVID-19 situation has left us with problems, which we need to face head-on. SACUA

In the News

[SACUA talks availability of meeting minutes, faculty childcare](#), by Amer Goel and Simon Moncke, *Michigan Daily*, November 7, 2022

[Ono seeks faculty members' input about U-M's future](#), by Katie Kelton, *University Record*, November 21, 2022

[Faculty Senate seeks feedback on clinical faculty, lecturers](#), by Katie Kelton, *University Record*, December 2, 2022

[Senate Assembly will vote to fill two SACUA vacancies](#), by Katie Kelton, *University Record*, December 12, 2022

began to do so by discussing a book by Rebecca Pope-Ruark on *Unraveling Faculty Burnout: Pathways to Reckoning and Renewal* (2022). It provided a start on engaging in a difficult conversation, particularly since the high rates of perceived mental health problems among students also impact the faculty. The conversation will continue.

SACUA also organized the Regent's Forum on October 3 at Ruthven's University Hall. The University Record helped us by distributing it widely, online. By that afternoon, 150 people had viewed the Forum; before the elections, 1,300 had done so. The presentations from the four candidates that aspired to be Regents were all excellent, and Chair Pedraza was



Hybrid Senate Assembly and University Senate meetings were held in Ruthven Building's University Hall and via

Chair's Message (continued)

pleased to be the moderator, asking questions the faculty had sent us.

II. Senate Assembly and University Senate

The biggest issue SACUA took on this Fall was the restructuring of the Senate Assembly and the University Senate due to the possible inclusion of the Clinical Faculty and/or the Lecturers in its fold. At present, the Clinical Faculty and the Lecturers are not members of the University Senate. Members of our faculty governance are the Tenure-Track and Tenured faculty, the Research Professors and Research Scientists, and the Librarians/Archivists/Curators. However, the faculty composition has changed enormously in the last 30 some years. Beginning last Summer and into this Fall, SACUA worked on this issue through two committees-- a Faculty Senate Restructuring Working Group and the Senate Assembly's Committee on Rules, Practices, and Policies.

Thus, at the meetings of both the Senate Assembly and the University Senate this Fall, SACUA strove to educate the faculty on all the issues that pertain to the possible inclusion of the new types of faculty into our traditional faculty governance. Chair Pedraza presented the data obtained from the university's Office of Budget and Planning. Looking only at the Ann Arbor campus, for which such data does exist from 1988-2021, one can see that the growth in the Tenure-Track faculty has been slight -- from roughly 2,700 to 3,100. Lecturers have grown more, nearly tripling -- from roughly 350 to 1,000. The Clinical Faculty growth has been enormous -- from only 25 to over 2,250.

Moreover, fully 85% of the Clinical Faculty are in the Medical School, with a few in other Health professions, such as Dentistry; and a few are in the Law School. That enormous change in faculty composition brought the issue to the fore. While the Lecturers have representation in their union, the Lecturers Employee Union, the Clinical Faculty have no representation of any sort. Yet expanding the University Senate to include all those new categories of faculty holds consequences for the Senate apportionment as well as for the continued viability of our present system of faculty governance.

Thus, at both its Senate Assembly and University Senate meetings this Fall, the issues were laid out by various members of the faculty. The arguments FOR and AGAINST the expansion of the Senate to include the Clinical Faculty and/or the Lecturers can be found at: <http://facultysenate.umich.edu/resources/restructuring-discussion>.

If the expansion of the University Senate were to pass, it will involve a change in the Regents' By-Laws. Thus, we need to put it up to three votes: a SACUA vote, a Senate Assembly vote, and a University Senate vote, in that order. We plan to do so at their respective meetings between the end of January and the end of February. Stay tuned and please participate. Become informed regarding the issues,

attend the meetings, and vote. It needs to be a decision of the faculty.

With the help of the excellent staff of Executive IT, Chair Pedraza and FSO Director McCarthy have tried to improve the voting process at hybrid meetings for members of the Senate Assembly and the University Senate. The effort is to bring together the new -- the technologies of online meetings, videoconferencing -- that have improved the participation of the faculty in its governance together with the old -- arriving at the Senate decisions through a parliamentary process of deliberation. Our excellent IT staff in Ruthven are assisting us in this difficult process. We will be presenting (present now means both traditional and virtual) to use attending virtually! into Bre



Photo Gallery