## January 2023

#### Call meeting to order

Plan to adjourn 5min early to help facilitate Zoom'd out calendars

#### Attendees (green = present):

- Donny Likosky Med School
- Hani Bawardi CollCollege Arts & Sciences (Dearborn)
- Naomi Binnie University Library
- Tom Braun (SAUCA Liaison)
- Adam Burak Engineering
- Arlo Clark-Foos CASL (Dearborn)
- Gabriela Hristova College Arts & Sciences (Flint)
- Jacob Lederman Dept Behavioral Sciences (Flint)
- Massy Mutumba Nursing
- Karen Staller Social Work
- Chuanwu (Wu) Xi Public Health
- Eric Vandenberghe U-M Faculty Senate
- Lucas McCarthy U-M Faculty Senate
- December 2022 minutes: December 2022 Meeting minutes
- SACUA Approved Charge
- 1. Call to order, approval of agenda and minutes
- 2. Announcements
- 3. Overview of COAA Schedule for Winter 2023 Term
- 4. Evaluation of Deans and Department Chairs
  - a. Review "Process for the Provost of Deans (Ann Arbor)" document
  - b. Critically review processes (and their strengths and weaknesses) across UM Schools, Departments and campuses
- 5. Evaluation of processes for UM employees experiencing harassment and retaliation
  - a. Update on meeting with Beth Manning (UM Flint Head of HR)
  - b. Discussion of recommendations for a central web page to assist in putting the procedure into action. Include nuances for different units and campuses.
  - c. Discuss framework and timeline for submitting recommendations to SACUA
- 6. New Business
- 7. Adjournment

### Winter Term Overview and Timeline

#### **Evaluation of Deans and Department Chairs**

- 1. January: Discussion of plan moving forward, as well as overview of Ann Arbor process
- 2. February: Feedback to enhance and add precision to Ann Arbor process, recommendations
- 3. March: Draft of recommendations to send to SACUA
- 4. April: Finalize recommendations; look ahead to next academic year

#### **Evaluation of processes for UM employees experiencing harassment and retaliation**

- 1. January: Discuss framework of recommendation of central web page for procedure implementation. Discuss framework of improving resources for respondents in harassment cases (e.g., legal counsel options) articulating short and long-term strategies.
- 2. February: Provide examples or descriptions of content, layout, etc. for potential central web page for procedure implementation. Craft rough draft identifying issue of improving respondents' resources
- 3. March: Rough draft of Recommendation for SACUA regarding central web page for procedure implementation. Finalize draft of identifying issue of improving respondents' resources
- 4. April: Finalize draft of recommendation for SACUA regarding central web page for procedure implementation; look ahead to next academic year

# **January Business**

#### **Evaluation of Deans and Department Chairs**

- 1. Critically review processes (and their strengths and weaknesses) across UM Schools, Departments and campuses
  - a. Evaluate "Process-for-Dean-Review-October-20-2021" file
  - b. Brainstorm potential improvements that can be recommended
  - c. Consider inviting guest to discuss process further
  - d. Request files from Dearborn and Flint

#### **Evaluation of processes for UM employees experiencing harassment and retaliation**

- 1. Recommend specific modifications to existing processes to enhance objectivity, fairness to all parties, and resources available to UM employees
  - a. What would our "customers" want in terms of resources rather than asking what the University offers?
    - Mapping out the process of going from complaint through resolution, and what processes are recommendations vs SPGs (the latter are obligations to follow)
    - ii. Grievance Procedure and Dispute Resolution:
      - 1. Is there discretion to follow recommendations?
      - 2. Gabriela and Massy forwarded the mapping and SPGs at Flint and Ann Arbor?
  - b. **ACTION ITEM**: Ask the campuses what policies exist with regard to addressing harassment and retaliation concerns among faculty
    - i. Beth Manning, Flint HR Director.
      - 1. Update on meeting
      - 2. Policy and Standard Practice Guide
    - ii. Rima Berry-Hung [Dearborn]
      - 1. Human Resources Director
      - 2. Phone: 313-593-5190
      - 3. E-mail: rberry@umich.edu, Dearborn
    - iii. Pamela Heatlie [Dearborn]
      - 1. Director of ECRT and Title IX Coordinator
      - 2. Phone: 313-436-9194
      - 3. ECRT-Dearborn@umich.edu
    - iv. Sacha Matish, Ann Arbor Academic HR
  - c. **ACTION ITEM**: committee members to identify specific language in the SPG on <u>Sexual and Gender-Based Misconduct</u> that strikes as especially vague
  - d. Recommend specific modifications to faculty grievance resources (e.g., transitioning the grievance form to an electronically available portal on the Academic Human Resources website) to enhance their availability
    - i. What would our "customers" want in terms of resources? Can we make it customer-focused?
    - ii. Each member of COAA consider being put through the grievance and identify potential roadblocks
    - iii. Speak to those who have put in grievances
    - iv. Speak to Academic HR who identify a grievance monitor for the faculty
      - 1. What would be the barriers to create such a resource?
      - 2. Codify and examine the effectiveness of the role of the Ombuds in the process (pre, during and post)?
    - v. How to more effectively use the mediation process? Can this be another layer to increase effectiveness?

- 2. Identifying disparity in resources for respondents to harassment and retaliation claims
  - a. Issue: respondents to these claims are not provided resources through the University when the claims are made by the University
  - b. ACTION ITEM: Identify the issue for SACUA
    - i. Recommend a roadmap for addressing the issue through phases:
      - Phase 1: Adopt list of local attorneys with experience with relevant cases and faculty peers who could be trained as faculty support persons
      - 2. Phase 2: Address the resource disparities stemming from Faculty out-of-pocket coverage of legal expenses.