March 2023

Call meeting to order

• Plan to adjourn 5min early to help facilitate Zoom'd out calendars

Attendees (green = present):

- Donny Likosky Med School
- Hani Bawardi CollCollege Arts & Sciences (Dearborn)
- Naomi Binnie University Library
- Tom Braun (SAUCA Liaison)
- Adam Burak Engineering
- Arlo Clark-Foos CASL (Dearborn)
- Gabriela Hristova College Arts & Sciences (Flint)
- Jacob Lederman Dept Behavioral Sciences (Flint)
- Massy Mutumba Nursing
- Karen Staller Social Work
- Chuanwu (Wu) Xi Public Health
- Eric Vandenberghe U-M Faculty Senate
- Lucas McCarthy U-M Faculty Senate
- 1. Call to order, approval of agenda and February minutes
- 2. Announcements
- 3. Evaluation of Deans and Department Chairs
 - a. Draft of recommendations to send to SACUA
- 4. Evaluation of processes for UM employees experiencing harassment and retaliation
 - a. Rough draft of Recommendation for SACUA regarding central web page for procedure implementation.
 - b. Finalize draft of identifying issue of improving respondents' resources
- 5. New Business
- 6. Adjournment

Winter Term Overview and Timeline

Evaluation of Deans and Department Chairs

1. January: Discussion of plan moving forward, as well as overview of Ann Arbor process

- 2. February: Feedback to enhance and add precision to Ann Arbor process, recommendations
- 3. March: Draft of recommendations to send to SACUA
- 4. April: Finalize recommendations; look ahead to next academic year

Evaluation of processes for UM employees experiencing harassment and retaliation

- 1. January: Discuss framework of recommendation of central web page for procedure implementation. Discuss framework of improving resources for respondents in harassment cases (e.g., legal counsel options) articulating short and long-term strategies.
- 2. February: Provide examples or descriptions of content, layout, etc. for potential central web page for procedure implementation. Craft rough draft identifying issue of improving respondents' resources
- 3. March: Rough draft of Recommendation for SACUA regarding central web page for procedure implementation. Finalize draft of identifying issue of improving respondents' resources
- 4. April: Finalize draft of recommendation for SACUA regarding central web page for procedure implementation; look ahead to next academic year

March Business

Evaluation of Deans and Department Chairs

- Critically review processes (and their strengths and weaknesses) across UM Schools, Departments and campuses
 - a. Review "Process for the Provost Review of Deans (for review)" file
 - b. Review and finalize draft of recommendations to be sent to SACUA

Evaluation of processes for UM employees experiencing harassment and retaliation

- 1. Review central web page suggestions
 - a. Recommend specific modifications to faculty grievance resources (e.g., transitioning the grievance form to an electronically available portal on the Academic Human Resources website) to enhance their availability
 - i. What would our "customers" want in terms of resources? Can we make it customer-focused?

- ii. Each member of COAA consider being put through the grievance and identify potential roadblocks
- iii. Speak to those who have put in grievances
- iv. Speak to Academic HR who identify a grievance monitor for the faculty
 - 1. What would be the barriers to create such a resource?
 - 2. Codify and examine the effectiveness of the role of the Ombuds in the process (pre, during and post)?
- v. How to more effectively use the mediation process? Can this be another layer to increase effectiveness?
- 2. Identifying disparity in resources for respondents to harassment and retaliation claims
 - a. Issue: respondents to these claims are not provided resources through the University when the claims are made by the University
 - b. ACTION ITEM: Identify the issue for SACUA
 - i. Recommend a roadmap for addressing the issue through phases:
 - Phase 1: Adopt list of local attorneys with experience with relevant cases and faculty peers who could be trained as faculty support persons
 - 2. Phase 2: Address the resource disparities stemming from Faculty out-of-pocket coverage of legal expenses.