

Minutes 25 September 2017
Circulated 26 September 2017
Approved 2 October 2017

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
Senate Advisory Committee on University Affairs (SACUA)
Monday, September 25, 2017 3:15 pm
Fleming Building, Regents' Room

Present: Atzmon, Beatty, Carlos, Ortega (chair), Marsh, Schultz, Szymanski, Wright; Potter, Schneider, Snyder

Absent: Malek

Guests: Members of the Press

3:19 Call to Order/Approval of Minutes

Chair Ortega announced that the Provost had notified him that he was unable to attend today's SACUA meeting because of the ongoing protests on the Diag, one by Dana Greene, another concerning the naming of the C.C. Little Building (http://www.mlive.com/news/ann-arbor/index.ssf/2017/09/protesters_block_bus_terminal.html#incart_2box_news_ann-arbor). Chair Ortega reported an e-mail from Mr. Greene giving the reasons for his protest (<http://www.mlive.com/ann-arbor/#/0>). The revised agenda (resulting from the Provost's absence) was approved.

3:20: Announcements

The Davis Markert Nickerson Lecture will be on October 3.

Chair Ortega reported on his meeting with Professor Louis D'Alecy and Dr. Peggy Hollingsworth about the Davis Markert Nickerson Lecture. As a result of these discussions it was decided that Dr. Hollingsworth will replace Regent Michael Behm amongst those introducing the lecture.

Chair Ortega reported on the private meeting attended by himself with Professors Shultz and Wright with Provost Philbert on September 21. They discussed administrative responses to the protests sparked by racist incidents on campus. They discussed the faculty role in the ongoing discussion of these incidents, pointing out that the resolutions passed in SACUA and Senate Assembly, which have been transmitted to the Office of Multicultural Academic Initiatives (OAMI) and Vice President Harper. They discussed the desire of faculty to be better informed about the way decisions that concern them are made, a point raised by a Senate Assembly member in the September 18 Senate Assembly meeting. They also discussed DACA issues.

Professor Wright asked when the Provost would meet with SACUA, given that, at present, he will not meet with SACUA before his appearance at Senate Assembly on October 23rd. The current SACUA schedule includes President Schlissel, who will meet with SACUA on October 9th; October 16th Fall Break no meeting unless there is an emergency. Chair Ortega said he would invite the Provost to the October 2 SACUA meeting.

3:30 Committee Specific Charges

Minutes 25 September 2017
Circulated 26 September 2017
Approved 2 October 2017

Professor Potter said that he had discussed the SRAC charge with Vice President Harper and expressed satisfaction with it.

Wright asked about finding a chair for the Building, Facilities and Infrastructure Committee (BFIC), so far no one has been willing to serve. Professor Szymanski suggested that SACUA look for a faculty member in the Taubman School. Professor Wright noted that Financial Affairs Advisory Committee (FAAC) is a large and wondered if a chair for BFIC could be found there, given that facilities issues are often discussed in that committee's meetings; Chair Ortega will consult with Professor Love, the chair of FAAC, about a person who could be approached to be chair of BFIC.

Professor Atzmon proposed that the status of high performance computing be added to charge of the Information Technology Committee. It was agreed that this should happen.

Professor Schultz asked if chairs like the new style of charge, Chair Ortega will ask them.

Professor Schultz moved acceptance of the charges as revised. The motion passed unanimously.

3:40 Faculty Undergraduate Scholarship Selection Committee

Mr. Schneider described the creation of the fund in 2007 (donations of \$40,000 with \$40,000 matching from President Coleman) (<https://facultysenate.umich.edu/about/university-undergraduate-scholarship/>), there is not enough money to fund 4 students a year as had been originally intended (Professor Wright said that \$300,000 would be needed to fully fund the scholarship).

Chair Ortega there are 10 applicants; there was discussion of evaluation criteria.

Professors Wright and Atzmon volunteered to join Chair Ortega in reading the applications; they will also review the terms of the scholarship and its relationship to other financial aid packages offered by the University.

3:55 Executive Session: Moving SACUA meetings to facilitate meetings with individual units to better educate the faculty about faculty governance.

4:25 Executive Session: SACUA's connections with the Davis, Markert, Nickerson Lecture and the Academic Freedom Lecture Fund (AFLF).

4:48 SACUA came out of Executive Session

Professor Carlos introduced the motion that:

SACUA formally exercises its option under the existing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to dissolve the relationship between the Davis, Markert, Nickerson Lecture and the Academic Lecture Freedom Fund.

The vote was 7 in favor with 1 abstention.

Professor Szymanski introduced the motion that:

SACUA will form a new committee, drawn from the University's faculty, to oversee the selection and planning process for the Davis, Markert, Nickerson Lecture.

The motion was unanimously approved.

4:55 Executive Session: General Counsel’s Advisory Committee topics

5:10 Adjournment

Respectfully submitted,
David S. Potter
Senate Secretary

University of Michigan Bylaws of the Board of Regents, Sec. 5.02:
Governing Bodies in Schools and Colleges
Sec. 4.01 The University Senate

"...[t]he Senate is authorized to consider any subject pertaining to the interests of the university, and to make recommendations to the Board of Regents in regard thereto. Decisions of the University Senate with respect to matters within its jurisdiction shall constitute the binding action of the university faculties. Jurisdiction over academic polices shall reside in the faculties of the various schools and colleges, but insofar as actions by the several faculties affect university policy as a whole, or schools and colleges other than the one in which they originate, they shall be brought before the University Senate."

Appendix: SENATE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEES

CURRENT - As indicated on Website [https://facultysenate.umich.edu/senate-assembly/committees/ as of August 15, 2017]

COMMITTEE	DESCRIPTION	2017 Charges
AAAC Academic Affairs Advisory Kentaro Toyama, chair VP Provost	4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Vice President for Academic Affairs on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University academic activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome	5. SPECIFIC CHARGE Consult with the Provost regarding the extent to which current federal policies relevant to research and research funding (including changes regarding indirects) affect or are likely to affect current and future faculty research (e.g., formula for indirect cost allocations). Determine the University’s efforts to protect all non-US citizens for travel to and away from campus, and determine the University’s stance on non-US citizens access to all University supports including financial, health and mental health (including DACA students) Clarify the SPG re: Fitness for Duty policy since there are currently two SPGs: http://spg.umich.edu/policy/201.15 and http://spg.umich.edu/policy/201.15-01 . Re: OIE (a) OIE is currently mandated to investigate all complaints when the complainant discloses his or her identifying

Minutes 25 September 2017
Circulated 26 September 2017
Approved 2 October 2017



		<p>information. OIE states all information about the complainant will remain private “unless there is compelling legal or operational reasons for its release” [https://hr.umich.edu/about-uhr/uhr-confidentiality-statement] “Operational reasons” and other language used to explain the limits of confidentiality by OIE are unclear and faculty have expressed concerns about their right to confidentiality beginning from the initial complaint. Clarify the conditions of confidentiality and how complainants are informed of the limits of confidentiality beginning with the initial complaint.</p> <p>(b) Determine the implications of OIE complaints regarding Title IX violations in the context of federal review that further scrutinizes the implications of Title IX for both accuser and accused. Also, determine the potential for faculty to be protected from complaints, including an appeals process and whether or not legal advice will be afforded to faculty similar to legal counsel the University provides to students.</p> <p>Determine the University’s position on campus safety, free speech, freedom of expression, and freedom of assembly especially regarding whether or not specific conditions exist under which campus safety should take precedence over such freedoms.</p> <p>Clarify the University’s position on right to bear arms on campus</p>
<p>AEC Administrative Evaluation Keith Riles, Chair</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE Relevant to the Administrative Evaluation by faculty, identify and assist in implementing ways to increase faculty participation in completing the evaluation, which was 13% in 2016.</p> <p>Identify methods and format for presenting yearly data and for displaying results.</p> <p>Recommend ways that faculty can assist administrators in their self-improvement and to bring matters of concern to their attention.</p>
<p>BFIC no chair yet Hank Baier Building, Facilities and Infrastructure</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on a variety of special topics of broad interest to the university as a whole with an emphasis on core university values. The committee’s advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - arrange mtg with exec; - discuss implications of renovations, planned changes and faculty input



	advice could affect the decision-making outcome.	
<p>CERAC Communications and External Relations Advisory</p> <p>Paul Kileny, chair</p> <p>VP Dev./Jerry May</p> <p>VP GovRel/ Cynthia Wilbanks</p> <p>VP Comm/ Kallie Michels</p>	<p>Advises and consults with the Vice President for Government Relations regarding state and community relations, state outreach activities, government relations at the local, state, & federal levels, and long-range planning.</p> <p>4. GENERAL CHARGE (GRAC) As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Vice President of Government Relations on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p> <p>Advisory to the Vice President for Development. The committee identifies, advises, and consults on development issues of importance to the entire University in conjunction with SACUA and Senate Assembly.</p> <p>Advises and consults with the Vice President for Communications regarding public relations, internal and external communications, and long-range planning.</p> <p>I'm not sure there has ever been a clear charge for this committee as one committee. If this is going to be separated again then should plan on it this year. The general feeling seems to be to separate this again. [Should we include CAC</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>(GRAC)Review current and projected state budgetary priorities, especially relevant to higher education Discuss Michigan's state budget and identify its impact on the University Summarize local issues relevant to campus and University community Discuss the University's current and on-going response to the Flint water crisis</p>



	description?] [Should we include DAC description?] [Should we include GRAC description?]	
CCRL Committee on Civil liberties and Civil Rights Chair: Robert Fraser	4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University civil liberty activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.	5. SPECIFIC CHARGE Present a statement that articulates conditions under which First Amendment rights will be upheld while maintaining a safe campus environment. Consider the University's position on balancing campus safety, free speech, freedom of expression, and freedom of assembly. Develop a mechanism to make CLCR Committee a first response team for a faculty voice on matters that arise and that require a faculty perspective.
CESF Committee on Economic Status of Faculty No chair yet	4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.	No specific charge
CIU Committee for an Inclusive University Christiane Myers	4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities related to an Inclusive University. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.	5. SPECIFIC CHARGE Collect and summarize information about unit expectations for the faculty implementation of DE&I goals and objectives Explore and summarize findings on unit-level criteria used to evaluate faculty efforts for annual reviews and any other performance reviews that have implications for merit, tenure and/or promotion. Make recommendations on criteria to reward model programs or unit-level best practices.
FAAC Financial Affairs Advisory	4. GENERAL CHARGE As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Executive Vice	No specific charge

<p>Brian Love, Chair</p> <p>VP Kevin Hegarty</p>	<p>President and Chief Financial Officer on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	
<p>GCAC General Counsel Advisory</p> <p>Phil Frost, Chair</p> <p>VP Tim Lynch</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the General Counsel on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>No specific charge</p>
<p>GRAC Government Relations Advisory</p> <p>see CERAC</p>	<p>[The Government Relations Advisory Committee (GRAC) advises and consults with the Vice President for Government Relations regarding state and community relations, state outreach activities, government relations at the local, state, & federal levels, and long-range planning.]</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>University research corridor - status, update of economic impact report explore state budgetary priorities, espec. higher education as priority federal research funding and changes in indirect costs state budget and impact on University immigration policies response to and status of Flint water crisis local issues relevant to campus and University community</p>
<p>ITC</p> <p>Ivo Dinov, chair</p> <p>VP Kelly Trosvig</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University IT activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>status report on canvas; how evaluated? MOOC ; status and how evaluated? Other curricular innovations in the works requiring advanced technical assistance? how are faculty engaged in technological innovations and advancements??</p>



<p>MAAC</p> <p>Robert Lash, chair</p> <p>VP - Marschal Runge</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Executive Vice President of Medical Affairs on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>Explore any changes in healthcare and implications for curricular innovations</p> <p>Explore national and global health care issues and role of UM</p> <p>Continue to evaluate the Medical School reorganization.</p>
<p>RPC</p> <p>Kate Eaton, Chair</p> <p>VP Jack Hu</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Vice President of Research on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University research activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>Examine the consequences of proposed reduced indirect cost rates from the present administration.</p>
<p>RPP</p> <p>Rules, Practices and Policies Committee</p> <p>Scott Masten, Chair</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>The committee shall advise and consult with SACUA, Senate Assembly, and the Senate on issues related to their rules, if any. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>Examine the many contradictory definitions of “Faculty” in bylaws, SPGs, etc.</p>
<p>SAC</p> <p>The Secretary Advisory Committee</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Secretary of the University on policy and procedure issues related to the</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>Clarify the definition of “faculty” and all relevant constituents (with rules committee)</p> <p>Clarify the relationship between Regent Bylaw 11.63 and Student Life Advisory Committee for Recreational Sports Purpose</p>

<p>Goncalo Abecasis, chair</p> <p>VP Sally Churchill</p>	<p>broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>Statement (revised April 2015) selection process for faculty which appear different from each other. Make recommendations for aligning the Regents Bylaw 11.63 and Student Life Purpose Statement in terms of faculty membership and term of service.</p> <p>Clarify how bylaw revisions in general are tracked and how faculty are informed of the revisions.</p>
<p>SRAC</p> <p>David Potter - chair fall term</p> <p>Gina Cervetti - chair winter term</p> <p>VP Royster Harper</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult with the Vice President of Student Affairs on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>Clarify the relationship between Regent Bylaw 11.63 and Student Life Advisory Committee for Recreational Sports Purpose Statement (revised April 2015) selection process for faculty which appear different from each other. Make recommendations for aligning the Regents Bylaw 11.63 and Student Life Purpose Statement in terms of faculty membership and term of service.</p> <p>Discuss ways to develop and maintain a collaborative relationship to proactively engage in conversations across the campus community about topics of concern and import to a healthy campus social climate.</p> <p>Make recommendations to SACUA and Senate Assembly that are aimed at promoting on-going (e.g., annual or semester) faculty-engaged conversations with the University community about topics of concern and import to a healthy campus social climate</p> <p>Discuss ways to organize a campus community “first response” to emerging campus climate issues inclusive of student, staff, faculty and administration voices.</p> <p>ns?</p>
<p>TPPDC</p> <p>John Lehman, chair</p>	<p>4. GENERAL CHARGE</p> <p>As the voice of faculty, the committee shall advise and consult on policy and procedure issues related to the broad range of University tenure activities. The committee's advice shall be sought and given in a timely manner so that the advice could affect the decision-making outcome.</p>	<p>5. SPECIFIC CHARGE</p> <p>Review the University Tenure and Promotion policy and identify unit-level T & P policies that deviate from, or present ambiguities such that their implementation raises questions of fairness when applied to their faculty. Provide a summary report of findings and recommendations aimed at eliminating ambiguities and for promoting fair T&P policy application.</p> <p>Review faculty grievances over the past 10 years and assess patterns in need of clarity. Provide a summary report of findings and recommendations.</p> <p>Provide faculty grievance monitor training and develop a FGM training guide.</p>

--	--	--