STUDENT RELATIONS COMMITTEE
Wednesday, February 10, 1993
MINUTES

Present: Mary Louise Antieau, Asst. to VP for Student Affairs; Robert Beyer, Biology; Mark DeCamp, U-M Dearborn; George Estabrook, Biology; Amy Ellis, MSA; Roy Glover, Medical School; Royster Harper, Student Affairs; Maureen Hartford, VP for Student Affairs; Ted Hopf, Political Science; Roman Hryciw, Engineering; David Karow, MSA; Barbara MacAdam, U Library; Jennifer Maher, MSA; Joan McGowan, School of Dentistry; A. Deplores Sloan, Asst. Dean of Counseling; Charles B. Smith, SACUA; Robert VanHouweling, MSA; Scott Waldbaum, MSA.

The meeting was called to order by co-chair Barbara MacAdam at 5:15 PM.

Introductions around the table.

Minutes from January 13, 1993 were approved.

Student/Faculty Hearing Panel
- The Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities calls for a system of student hearing panels chaired by faculty or staff members.

- Barbara MacAdam received seven positive responses from the faculty. The aim was 7 or 8. Over 30 faculty members were contacted; about half responded either positively or negatively.

- After 3 attempts, the demographics of the University were matched and 50 students were sent letters.

- Training will be Sat. March 6, 1993, 12 Noon to 6 PM in the Kunzel room of the Union. Members of SRC will be invited and sent a training schedule. There is a training tape from Penn State that will be shown.

- There have been several inquiries as to whether or not certain situations would be referred to a hearing panel. To date, none of the situations have applied. Also, it was stated that there are no cases at this time.

- The panel will consist of 50 people at all times. Panelists will serve for two academic terms (Jan.- Jan).

- There was discussion about punishing students who refused to serve on a panel. Students will be brought before a panel if they refuse to participate. One credit hour may be offered to students as an incentive to participate.

- Mary Lou Antieau, the Assistant to The Vice President of Student Affairs, stated that her office would phone students who had received letters asking them to serve, to ensure that the students understand the process and time commitment. This letter will be available to the SRC.

Counseling Operations
- A. Delories Sloan, Assistant Dean of Counseling, gave an overview of the Counseling Operations.
Counseling services provides individual, and group therapy, as well as outreach activities.

The purpose is to provide counseling that will enable students to overcome academic problems or anything that will inhibit them from achieving their goals at the university.

There is no fee, but the services are limited only to U-M students, including spouses and children.

Dr. Sloan mentioned that at this time there are five full-time PhDs and seven full-time Masters-prepared counselors on staff. They are actively searching for counselors at this time.

Some examples of things that were recommended to Counseling Services by Dr. Ursella Dellworth include:
1) Try to increase the number of people who use the services.
2) Change the literature.
3) Visit academic departments.

The goals for Counseling Services during 1991-92 were:
1) Improve prompt access.
2) Same day Urgent/Emergency services.
3) Centralize record keeping.
4) Develop diagnostic reporting codes.
5) Bring clinical procedures in line with accreditation standards.

Dr. Sloan provided statistics detailing the service contacts, typical clients (including race/ethnicity and gender), and the problems treated.

Review of Draft - Student Affairs' Mission, Principles, and Goals
Each member was to review the draft and send comments to Maureen Hartford, Vice President for Student Affairs.

The draft was shared with deans and input was encouraged. It is also being sent to senior management.

The goal for psychological counseling is to improve the health and well-being of the students.

Professor Charles Smith mentioned that concrete, measurable goals should be set. He also suggested that the goals of Career Planning and Placement be considered as well.

Diag Policy Use
Rob VanHouweling, MSA representative, listed several concerns about the diag policy including:
1) Money: the whole group is responsible for set up and clean up costs, including those who just sign supporting the cause as well as active members.
2) The seven day authorization in order to receive amplification will not allow anti-war rallies, if a war was suddenly to break out.

3) Chalking and posting in the diag: chalk washes off with rain, tape and flyers cause more of a mess.

4) Holding events only on the pavement, not in the "soft" areas: there are many times that the grass areas are needed and used to accommodate whole groups.

-Dr. Hartford agreed that seven days notice was too much advance notice needed to be allowed to use the diag. She explained that the way the policy read, was that the seven day notice was in order to receive amplification for the event.

-Rob brought up another point which stated that the way the policy reads now, it could be restricting content of the demonstration, not just Time/Place/Manor.

-A point brought up by Amy Ellis was about MLK Day. She pointed out that the BSU was unable to reserve the diag for that day, they had to go through the Office of Minority Affairs.

-Dr. Hartford explained the reason for this was to keep the diag reserved that day for only MLK Day events. That was why it was written that only the Office of Minority Affairs could reserve the diag that day.

-There was a consensus that the way the policy reads is petty and ambiguous. Also, there is a need for fair policies to be clearly stated. Another concern mentioned was about selective enforcement, which again brought up the Time/Place/Manor issue and the possibility that this rule is restricting content.

-There was mention of faculty concerns about noise from the diag during the day, while they are teaching, but all agreed that faculty deal with noises far worse than people demonstrating in the diag.

-It was brought up by Dr. Hartford that the committee started working on the policy in Sept. of 1991. They are attempting to streamline the things that are problematic. Specific reactions to the policy should be sent to Rob Vanhouweling.

Academic Policies will be first on the Agenda for the next meeting detailing:
   1) Course Withdrawal
   2) Plagiarism

Meeting adjourned at 7:05 PM.

Next Meeting
Wednesday, March 10, 1993
5:00 - 7:00 PM
Welker Room, Michigan Union