Present: Chair Charlie Koopmann, Royster Harper, Simone Himbeault-Taylor, Donna Hayward, Lisa Low, Janine Maddock, Leo McAfee, Richard Nye, David Potter, Susan Pritzel, Ian Margolis (MSA Rep), Karin Teske (Student Support)

Meeting called to order at 12:04pm

Chair Remarks

Any objections to the minutes: spelling error: “complaintant” minutes approved provided this change is made.

Issues of campus non-smoking and campus recreation time allotted for next meetings

Revision of Statement of Student Rights and Responsibilities Process/Voting:

General Counsel (GC) recommendations:

-Amend proposal 1 to reflect recommendations of general counsel; keep on consent calendar with these changes

Proposal 2: no comment from GC

Proposal 3: no recommended revisions: but expresses a concern about emphasis on discipline in addition to education: will articulate concerns in formal report if this is advanced

Proponents also concerned about education versus sanctions: want more emphasis on education; GC want more on sanctions:

This proposal is not yet on the consent calendar; will return to discuss.

Proposal 4 on consent calendar: substantive revision from GC:

PROPSAL 4 PULLED OFF CONSENT CALENDAR

(proposal 5 was withdrawn at an earlier date: no action required)
Proposal 6: OK

Proposal 7:
Leave Proposal 7 as is, and stays on consent

Proposals 1, 2, 6, 7, now to be on consent calendar.

Motion, second, to pass these proposals. (PASSED UNANIMOUSLY).

Proposal 3
Students think proposal should focus on education and general counsel think the proposal shifts it too far to educational side; we thought we were in consensus to put the word sanctions.

Heading for this section read “Interventions/Sanctions “ at top of page rather than putting “sanctions” first.

Propose that sentence 3 reads: “Some behavior is so harmful to the University..... that it may require sanctions including but not limited to removal from housing, removal from specific courses or activities...or expulsion.”

Proposed changes were amended to use the words “such as” rather than “including but not limited to”

“Some behavior is so harmful to the University....that it may require sanctions such as removal from housing, removal from specific courses or activities....or expulsion.”

Move to use wording change “such as” for this sentence: UNANIMOUS.

Move that we change heading to Interventions/ Sanctions: UNANIMOUS.

Pg 4 at the bottom: parts D (Records of Resolution Actions), and E (Reports of Actions).

Proposal for using the phrase “interventions and sanctions” in place of the word “interventions” in parts D and E. (UNANIMOUS)

There was discussion about the use of “interventions and sanction” throughout the document

OSCR: goal is a learning piece; intervention is umbrella term

Sanctions is a subset of intervention

Be explicit at the beginning that interventions include sanctions.
Use the wording: “may require interventions that may include sanctions such as:” anywhere else that the document says interventions would be covered.

Interventions (including sanctions).

Proposal Motion:
some behavior is so harmful to the University ... that is **may require interventions including sanctions such as**: removal from housing...or expulsion.”

Vote for the body of the paragraph: interventions including sanctions such as: 8 in favor: 1 opposed. (PASSED 8 to 1).

Title of section 7 change to : Interventions (including sanctions)

Vote for heading: interventions (including sanctions) : 3 in favor; 6 opposed. (FAILED 3 to 6)

Heading is still interventions/sanctions

Move to change the title to “interventions”: seconded: all in favor: 5; opposed: 4 (PASSED 5 to 4)

Other places with interventions and sanctions:

Move to leave “interventions” in all places that we changed previously to “interventions and sanctions” today: in favor; 1 abstained; 8 for, 0 against. (PASSED 8-0-1).

In the first place the word intervention occurs, say “as defined in section 7).

Page 4 introduction 3rd paragraph (of the SSRR book): “nor does it constrain the procedures.... interventions (including sanctions)”. UNANIMOUS

Motion: accept proposal 3 as finally amended: UNANIMOUS

Proposal 4

Proposal to change to “such as”. (in section 2): “These pathways may be available for a wide variety of conflicts “such as” instead of “including” roommate disputes....” UNANIMOUS
With that modification:

Proposal to keep proposal format as is, and encourage OSCR to provide a link in the statement to an external document that contains a flow chart outlining the conflict resolution pathways.

UNANIMOUS

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Motion to pass proposal 4 as revised; all in favor UNANIMOUS.

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

VPSA Remarks:

Next meeting is smoking meeting
next meeting March 19th.

We have an outdated SPG and we need to clean it up.

Thank you to Carrie and Dawn of OSCR

Thank you to Ian Margolis (MSA student representative) for being a full committee member and active participant.

Meeting closed at 1:42pm

Respectfully Submitted by:

Karin Teske

SACUA Student Support