sexual harassment. One of these has come to a formal hearing.

* * * * *

Student Relations Committee: Liaison Report for meeting of 3/23/89 (GD Ness 3/27/89)

STUDENT SEXUAL-RACIAL HARASSMENT POLICY. Students have been reporting on reactions they have gained from other student groups on the new harassment policy. UCAR has been vocal in denouncing the policy, suggesting that there are too many levels, and that complaints tend to get shut off at the lowest levels. These complaints have succumbed to evidence presented by the student members, and the new office for policy evaluation staffed by Ms Darlene Ray-Johnson. UCAR members were considered to have a valid complaint in their exclusion from the decisions to have such a policy, but it was also recognized that they had refused to be part of those discussions.

Students recognize the need for follow-up evaluations, and continue discussions of how to undertake such evaluations. We talked about an annual or biannual Quality of Life survey, with the assistance of ISR’s SRC, which could include questions about harassment (reported and unreported) and the impact of the new policy.

ORIENTATION Two faculty (Al Meyer and GailAnn Richter) have been considering the orientation process, and wrote a brief evaluation and set of suggestions. Student orientation tends to be overflowing with information. The booklets distributed have been praised for their quality, and one even won a national award. Still, there is concern that more can be done to help students find their way in the big U. SRC will probably invite a representative of the Orientation office for a presentation next year.

SAFETY needs remain critical issues. Students feel a need to have greater inputs. Roselle Wilson pointed out there is a broad, campus-wide Safety Committee that does include all groups.

MEMBERSHIP SRC members were invited to contact SACUA with nominations and suggestions for new members.